# Vibrational Analysis and Isotope Shifts of BEDT-TTF Donor for Organic Superconductors

Ersan Demiralp and William A. Goddard III\*

Materials and Process Simulation Center, Beckman Institute (139-74), Division of Chemistry and Chemical Engineering, California Institute of Technology, Pasadena, California 91125

Received: August 28, 1997; In Final Form: November 17, 1997

Bis(ethylenedithio)tetrathiafulvalene (BEDT-TTF) is the best donor for 1-D and 2-D organic superconductors. Since coupling of vibrations with electronic states is critical to the nature of the superconductivity, it is essential to have a good understanding of the vibrational states. Many of the vibrational modes of BEDT-TTF were reported and assigned by Kozlov et al.<sup>5</sup> and more were assigned by Eldridge et al.,<sup>6</sup> who made very complete isotope experiments. However, there remain several disagreements between these assignments. We report here the isotopic shifts for neutral BEDT-TTF based on ab initio calculations [Hartree–Fock (HF) using the 6-31G\*\* basis set] for all fundamental vibrational frequencies. On the basis of these results, we propose new assignments for the fundamental vibrational frequencies of BEDT-TTF that satisfy the isotopic substitutions: (i) D for all H, (ii) <sup>13</sup>C for the central carbons, (iii) <sup>13</sup>C for all the double bond carbons, and (iv) <sup>34</sup>S for all sulfurs. The boat deformation of the neutral BEDT-TTF reduces the point group symmetry from previously accepted  $D_{2h}$  (or  $D_2$ ) to  $C_2$ . This deformation explains the existing coincidence infrared (IR) and Raman spectrum.

### 1. Introduction

In the last two decades, more than 50 1-D and 2-D organic superconductors have been synthesized.<sup>1,2</sup> All organic superconductors involve derivatives of the organic donor molecules tetrathiafulvalene (denoted as TTF), tetraselenafulvalene (denoted at TSeF), or some mixture of these two molecules, packed into quasi one- and two-dimensional arrays and complexed to appropriate electron acceptors. Bis(ethylenedithio)tetrathiafulvalene (denoted as BEDT-TTF or ET) is the best donor for the organic superconductors (see Figure 1). Using ET, more than 30 organic superconductors have been synthesized, leading to the highest  $T_c$  materials. However, the best  $T_c$  remains at 12.8 K for  $\kappa$ -(ET)<sub>2</sub>Cu[N(CN)<sub>2</sub>]Cl.

The isotopic shift of  $T_c$  indicates that the superconductivity involves electron-phonon coupling. However, there remains many questions about the relation between molecular properties and superconductivity. We found<sup>3</sup> that for all known fulvalenebased superconductors, the neutral fulvalene donor distorts into a boat structure (the cation is planar), whereas the nonsuperconductors do not distort. We suggested<sup>3,4</sup> that the coupling between charge transfer and the lowest boat deformation vibrational mode is responsible for the superconductivity. To test such ideas and to develop a more complete understanding of the mechanism for superconductivity, it is very important to know the character of the vibrational states. Such vibrational studies in the crystal will help identify the crucial electronphonon coupling and clarify the mechanism of the superconductivity. Hopefully this increased understanding can help to develop better organic superconductor materials.

Kozlov et al.<sup>5</sup> reported the vibrational levels of ET and deuterium substituted ET/D<sub>8</sub>. Recently, Eldridge et al.<sup>6</sup> published extensive vibrational experiments with the isotopic



**Figure 1.** (a) Bis(ethylenedithio)tetrathiafulvalene (denoted as BEDT-TTF or ET). Side view for optimum structures of (b) planar and (c) boat ET.

substitutions: (i) D for all H, (ii) <sup>13</sup>C for the central carbons, (iii) <sup>13</sup>C for all the double-bond carbons, and (iv) <sup>34</sup>S for all sulfurs. Both groups made remarkable progress in identifying and assigning the vibrational modes of ET and isotopic substitutions; however, there remain many disagreements for the assignments of vibrational modes, particularly for deuterated ET. Since there are large isotopic shifts and the vibrational modes cross each other for deuterium substitutions, it is difficult to assign the corresponding modes purely from experiment. Recently, we published<sup>7</sup> the frequencies for ET from ab initio HF calculations and derived the MSX force field calculations to describe the experimental frequencies by Kozlov et al.<sup>5</sup> While

<sup>\*</sup> To whom correspondence should be addressed.

this material was in the process of publication, newer, much more complete studies of isotopically substituted ET were published by Eldridge et al.<sup>6</sup> Consequently, we have reexamined all the vibrational modes of ET for all available isotopic substitutions, using ab initio quantum chemical calculations [Hartree–Fock (HF) with 6-31G\*\* basis set] to obtain all modes of neutral ET and for the isotope shifts for these substitutions.

Generally the vibrational frequencies for HF ab initio wave functions are about 10% larger than the experimental frequencies. Thus, scaling the calculated frequencies by 0.9 makes the HF frequencies comparable with the experimental frequencies. We report here scaled HF frequencies for ET and its isotopic substitutions. We see that there is a consistent agreement between the scaled HF and the experimental frequencies. This remarkable agreement provides us with a good basis for independent assignments of the vibrational modes for ET and  $ET/D_8$ . This allowed us to propose a new set of assignments based on comparing the frequencies, assignments, and isotopic shifts from experiment with the scaled HF (from now on denoted as HF/S) frequencies, symmetries, intensities, and isotopic shifts from theory. The complete isotopic shifts for all the modes of ET should help to identify the crucial phonon mode(s) relevant to the superconductivity.

### 2. Calculations

Kozlov et al.<sup>5</sup> reported the vibrational levels of ET and for deuterium substitutions. Recently, Eldridge et al.<sup>6</sup> reported much more extensive vibrational experiments with isotopic substitutions: (i) D for all H, (ii) <sup>13</sup>C for the central carbons, (iii) <sup>13</sup>C for all the double-bond carbons, and (iv) <sup>34</sup>S for all sulfurs. Kozlov et al.<sup>5</sup> and Eldridge et al.<sup>6</sup> were aware that only the central C<sub>2</sub>S<sub>4</sub> group of the ET is planar, but to simplify the assignments, they considered the ET to be totally flat, leading to  $D_{2h}$  symmetry with the fundamental mode distribution

$$\Gamma(\text{D2h}) = 12A_{g} + 7A_{u} + 6B_{1g} + 11B_{1u} + 7B_{2g} + 11B_{2u} + 11B_{2g} + 7B_{3u}$$
(1)

Parts b and c of Figure 1 show the side view of planar and boat ET structures, respectively. Ab initio quantum chemical calculations<sup>3,4</sup> show that *neutral ET is nonplanar*. The stable boat structure of ET has  $C_2$  symmetry, leading to the mode distribution

$$\Gamma(C2) = 37A + 35B \tag{2}$$

The reduction of symmetry is as follows:

$$12A_{g} + 7A_{u} + 11B_{3g} + 7B_{3u} \rightarrow 37A$$
 (3a)

and

$$11B_{1u} + 6B_{1g} + 11B_{2u} + 7B_{2g} \rightarrow 35B$$
 (3b)

To clarify the vibrational spectrum of the neutral ET molecule, we first compared the experimental frequencies by Kozlov et al.<sup>5</sup> and Eldridge et al.<sup>6</sup> Although most of the frequencies matched numerically within the experimental resolution of 4 cm<sup>-1</sup>, many assignments disagreed in the symmetry of the modes (in  $D_{2h}$ ). C–H stretch modes around 2900 cm<sup>-1</sup>, C–H<sub>2</sub> bend modes around 1400 cm<sup>-1</sup>, and C–H<sub>2</sub> twist modes around 1150 cm<sup>-1</sup>, five modes between 919 and 889 cm<sup>-1</sup>, and C–H<sub>2</sub> rock modes at 687 cm<sup>-1</sup> were assigned

to different symmetries for ET by Kozlov et al.<sup>5</sup> and Eldridge et al.<sup>6</sup> Almost all the modes of deuterated ET(D<sub>8</sub>) from C–D stretch modes around 2200 to 600 cm<sup>-1</sup> except C=C stretch modes around 1500 cm<sup>-1</sup> were assigned to different symmetries by Kozlov et al.<sup>5</sup> and Eldridge et al.<sup>6</sup> From eqs 3a,b, we see that the symmetries of  $D_{2h}$  framework reduce to A and B modes, and both experimental spectra can be unified by considering the exact ( $C_2$ ) symmetry of the molecule. However, we keep the  $D_{2h}$  approximation to compare with the experimental assignments.

Using the quantum mechanical Hessian,<sup>8</sup> we substituted the isotopic masses to obtain the vibrational modes for isotopic substitutions.<sup>9</sup> Table 1 shows the calculated and available experimental frequencies for neutral ET and D(8) substitutions. We report scaled frequencies, intensities, and isotopic shifts for the boat structures. Over the years, the comparison of the HF frequencies with the experimental frequencies for many molecules leads to an empirical scaling factor of 0.9. For the comparisons of the intensities and the symmetries between the HF and the experimental results, we included also the approximate  $D_{2h}$  symmetry in all tables and summed the same type (IR or Raman) of intensities of  $C_2$  symmetry modes for the nearly degenerate pair modes such as  $A(A_g)$  and  $B(B_{1u})$  at  $2914 \text{ cm}^{-1}$  in the HF/S spectra. By comparing the experimental frequencies, the assignments, and the isotopic shifts with HF/S frequencies, symmetries, intensities, and isotopic shifts, we propose new sets of assignments for fundamental vibrational frequencies of ET and deuterated ET frequencies in Table 1. The agreement between HF/S and experimental frequencies is very good. We used the frequencies reported by Eldridge et al.<sup>6</sup> when the difference between the reported frequencies by Eldridge et al.<sup>6</sup> and Kozlov et al.<sup>5</sup> is  $\sim 5$  cm<sup>-1</sup>. Table 2 shows the comparisons of HF/S and the experimental frequencies by Eldridge at al.<sup>6</sup> for isotopic substitutions. There is a very good agreement between the experimental and the calculated frequencies for <sup>13</sup>C and <sup>34</sup>S substitutions. For these substitutions, the order of modes is usually preserved and the shifts are small. Thus, it is relatively easy to assign the modes for these substitutions. The assignments in Table 2 for <sup>13</sup>C and <sup>34</sup>S substitutions are the same as Eldridge et al.<sup>6</sup> except the 956 and 976  $\text{cm}^{-1}$  modes for <sup>13</sup>C(6) substitutions. We assigned the 956 cm<sup>-1</sup> mode to  $A(B_{3g})$  and the 976 cm<sup>-1</sup> mode to  $A(A_g)$  by comparing isotopic shifts. Using the calculated frequencies for the isotopic shifts, we obtained the shifts,  $\Delta \nu = \nu_0 - \nu_{\rm iso}$  in Table 3.

#### 3. Discussion

By comparing the HF/S frequencies, symmetries, intensities, and isotopic shifts, we propose new assignments which provide an excellent match between the theory and both sets of experimental results. We account for all the reported frequencies except two modes, at  $625 \text{ cm}^{-1}$  and  $860 \text{ and } 827 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ , in the neutral ET spectra. We believe these modes may be combination bands. Eldridge et al.<sup>6</sup> were also not certain about the last two modes.

We start with the assignments of C–H stretch modes in Table 1. We assigned the strongest Raman mode at 2920 cm<sup>-1</sup> as  $A(A_g)$  and its IR partner at 2922 cm<sup>-1</sup> as  $B(B_{1u})$ . Our calculated values are 2914 cm<sup>-1</sup> for both. We assigned the second strongest Raman mode at 2964 cm<sup>-1</sup> as  $A(A_u)$  and its IR partner at 2958 cm<sup>-1</sup> as  $B(B_{1g})$ . The calculated values are 2961 cm<sup>-1</sup> for both. Our calculated intensities agree with these assignments. We assigned the medium strength Raman mode at 2986 cm<sup>-1</sup> as  $B(B_{2g})$  and its IR partner at 2983 cm<sup>-1</sup> as  $B(B_{3u})$ . We

TABLE 1: Calculated and Experimental Frequencies for Neutral and ET(D(8)) (Deuterium Substitutions). Calculated HF Frequencies Are for Boat ( $C_2$  Symmetry) ET. HF/S Is  $0.9 \times$  (HF Frequencies). The Frequencies Are in cm<sup>-1</sup>, IR Intensities Are in km/mol (1 km/mol = 0.023666 D<sup>2</sup> Å<sup>-2</sup> amu<sup>-1</sup>), Raman Intensities Are in Å<sup>4</sup> /amu. Experimental Values Are from Eldridge et al.<sup>6</sup> and Kozlov et al.<sup>5</sup> We Propose New Assignments by Comparing the Following Factors: Experimental Frequencies and Assignments, HF/S Frequencies, Symmetries, and Intensities

ET						ET/D(8)								
			ν		ν									
	ex	p. assignmen	t	HF/ir	ntensity			exp. assignment						
symmetry $C_2(D_{2h})$	HF/S	this paper	Eldridge	Kozlov	IR	Raman	experiment spectra	HF/S	this paper	Eldridge	Kozlov			
A (B3u)	2972	2983	2983	2958	14.88		IR, vw <sup>a,b</sup>	2206	2229	2174	2237			
B (B2g)	2972	2986	2986			123.11	Raman, m	2206	2233	2175				
A (Au)	2961	2964			0.00	265.90	Raman, s	2201	2175					
B(Blg)	2961	2958	2020		0.38	610 52	IR, m	2201	2174	2147				
A(Ag) B(B1u)	2914	2920	2920	2958	79 91	010.52	IR m	2121	2147	2147	2169			
A (B3g)	2906	2722	2964	2750	17.71	168.19	iit, iii	2113	2110	2233	210)			
B (B2u)	2906		2958	2916	12.92			2113		2229				
A (Ag)	1634	1551	1551	1552	0.57	345.11	Raman, s	1633	1551	1551	1552			
$\mathbf{B}$ (B1u)	1611	1509	1509	1505	1.48	0.26	IR/Raman, s	1610	1509	1509	1506			
A(Ag)	1595	1493	1493	1494	0.35	25 43	Raman, vs	1594	1493	1493	1494			
B(B1u)	1448	1422	1408	1420	4.13	23.43	IR w	1136	1112	1112	1110			
A (B3g)	1435	1408	1422	1409	1.15	41.63	Raman, m	1059	1029	1029	1110			
B (B2u)	1435	1409	1422	1406	16.13		IR, s	1059	1031	1031				
A (Ag)	1319	1283	1283	1285		2.64	Raman, m	1051	1044	1044	1002 ?			
B(Blu)	1319	1284	1284	1282	66.13	176	IK, S Domon IV	1050	1042	1042	1011			
A (D3g) B (B2u)	1290	1257	1257	1250	6 5 6	1.70	IR m	1031	1010	1010	1029			
A(Au)	1189	1174	1126	1257	0.50	18.03	Raman, m	927	936	806	1041			
B(B1g)	1188	1174	1125	1175	0.33		IR, m	927	931	804	935			
A (B3u)	1134	1126	1174	1125	4.92		IR, m	804	806	793	806			
B (B2g)	1134	1125	1174	1132		14.70	Raman, w	803	804	794	805			
A (B3g)	1018	1013	1013	1016	0.21	0.34	Raman, m	1010	987	021	984			
$\Delta (B3g)$	1010	1000	1000		7.07	0.61	IK, III Raman m	1010	1018	931	990 1018			
B(B1u)	984	992	992	996	0.04	0.01	IR. sh	873	905	987	905			
A (Ag)	984	990	990	990		7.76	Raman, s	873	881	985				
A (Ag)	930	919	919	911		13.01	Raman, m	787	793	742	794			
B(B1u)	930	918	918	905	24.22	1.02	IR, s	787	794	742	793			
B(B2u)	896	906	906	917	12.99	1.83	IK, S Borron, VIV	863	8/9	905	8/9			
A(B3g) B(B1g)	870	890	860 ?	919	31.60	0.04	IR s	024 746	742	001	741			
A(Au)	870	070	827 ?	250	51.00	2.58	п, з	746	742	678	/ +1			
B (B2u)	855	875	$875^{c}$	875	2.29	0.28	IR, s	824	827	$827^{c}$	827			
B (B2u)	762				12.03	0.13		692	693					
B(B1u)	761	771	771	772	42.37	0.02	IR, s	761	772	772	772			
A (B3g)	/58	/64	/64°	625	0.17	1.96	Raman, m	690	693	624	610			
A(B3g) B(B2u)	683	687	687	623	2 57	17.70	IR m	626	635	635	609			
A(Ag)	644	654	$654^{d}$	653	2.07	44.70	Raman, s	599	610	610	635			
B (Blu)	644	654	$654^{d}$	653	6.72		IR, w	599	611	611	634			
B (B2g)	561				4.54	11.88		560						
B(Blg)	546				0.11	5.07		543						
A(Au) A(Ag)	544 485	487	487	486	1.50	15.60	Raman s	542 484	487	487	486			
B(B2g)	461	407	407	400	1.50	2.59	Kuman, 5	414	407	407	400			
A (B3u)	459				1.53			411						
B (B1u)	446	500	500	499	6.24	0.43	IR, s	447	500	500	500			
A(Ag)	435	440	440	440	0.01	14.54	Raman, m	434	440	440	439			
B(B10) B(B20)	382	390	390	390	0.03	1.10	IR, m IP, w	380	388 327	388 327	388			
A (B3g)	354	348	348	348	0.04	0.30	Raman w	351	340	340	339			
A (B3g)	345	335	335	334	0.02	8.42	Raman, w	331	326	326	323			
A (Ag)	321	309	309	308	1.02	4.00	Raman, m	317	298	298	296			
B (B1u)	294	277	277	278	3.16	0.17	IR, w	287	270	270	269			
A(Au)	284				0.04	2.72		308	277					
D(D2g) $\Delta(B3u)$	278				0.28	0.05		291	202					
B(B2u)	258	258	258	257	3.72	0.02	IR. w	254	256	256	257			
A (B3u)	243				0.01	0.75	,	244	220					
B (B1g)	236				4.45	0.61		234	211					
A (B3g)	185	[190]	190 <sup>c,e</sup>	159	0.01	0.72	Raman, sh	182	156	156	155			
A(Ag)	156	161	161 1250e	151	0.03	14.86	Raman, s	152	147	156	147			
A (Au) B (B1g)	117	96	123-,-		0.05	0.18	Raman m	110	123					
B(B2u)	54	20		30	1.14	0.87		53	00		30			
A (Au)	48				0.16	0.19		47						
B (B2g)	39	30		127	2.71	0.37	IR/Raman, w/s	39	30		125			
A (B3u)	38			96	7.24	0.26		35			88			
в (в2g) А (в3u)	54 18				0.26	0.63		31 17						
11 (DJu)	10				<i>4.4</i> 1	1.20		1/						

<sup>*a*</sup> Relative intensities, vs very strong, s strong, m medium, w weak, vw very weak, sh shoulder, br broad. <sup>*b*</sup> These are the spectra and the relative intensities corresponding to our assignments. <sup>*c*</sup> Symmetries of these modes were not assigned by Eldridge et al.<sup>6</sup> <sup>*d*</sup> These modes were assigned  $A(B_{3g})$  and  $B(B_{2u})$  in ref 6, but later were reassigned to  $A(A_g)$  and  $B(B_{1u})$  in ref 10. <sup>*e*</sup> These observed shoulders in the spectra by Eldridge et al.<sup>6</sup> may be fundamental modes.

TABLE 2: Scaled HF and Experimental Frequencies for Isotopic Substitutions <sup>13</sup>C(6), <sup>13</sup>C(2), <sup>34</sup>S(8), and D(8). Scaling Factor for HF Frequencies Is 0.9. The Frequencies Are in cm<sup>-1</sup>. Experimental Values Are from Eldridge et al.<sup>6</sup>

			ET		$^{13}C(6)$			$^{13}C(2)$			<sup>34</sup> S(8)			D(8)		
symmetry		expt		expt			expt			expt			expt			
$C_2(D_{2h})$	mode	HF/S	IR	Raman	HF/S	IR	Raman	HF/S	IR	Raman	HF/S	IR	Raman	HF/S	IR	Raman
A (B3u)	C-H str	2972	2983		2072	2083		2972	2983		2072	2983		2206	2220	
B(B2g)	C -H str.	2972	2985	2986	2972	2905	2986	2972	2905	2986	2972	2985	2986	2200	2229	2233
A (Au)	C-H str.	2961		2964	2961		2964	2961		2964	2961		2964	2201		2175
B (B1g)	C-H str.	2961	2958		2961	2958		2961	2958		2961	2958		2201	2174	
A(Ag)	C-H str.	2914	2022	2920	2913	2022	2920	2913	2022	2920	2913	2022	2920	2121	2146	2147
A (B3a)	C-H str.	2914	2922		2913	2922		2913	2922		2913	2922		2121	2146	
B(B2u)	C-H str.	2906			2906			2906			2906			2113		
A (Ag)	C=C str.	1634		1551	1570		1486	1617		1522	1633		1549	1633		1551
B (B1u)	C=C str.	1611	1509		1548	1452		1610	1486		1610	1508		1610	1509	
A (Ag)	C = C  str.	1595		1493	1532		1437	1547		1465	1594		1493	1594		1494
A(Ag) P(P1u)	$CH_2$ bend	1448	1422	1422	1447			1447		1422	1447	1422	1422	1136	1112	1116
A (B3g)	CH <sub>2</sub> bend	1448	1422	1408	1447		1408	1447		1408	1447	1423	1408	1059	1112	1029
B(B2u)	$CH_2$ bend	1435	1409	1.00	1435	1409	1.00	1435	1408	1100	1435	1409	1.00	1059	1031	1022
A (Ag)	$CH_2$ wag	1319		1283	1319		1283	1319		1283	1319		1283	1051		1044
B B(1u)	CH <sub>2</sub> wag	1319	1284		1319	1284		1319	1283		1319	1283		1050	1042	
A (B3g) D (D2 $w$ )	CH <sub>2</sub> wag	1290	12(1	1257	1290	12(1	1257	1290	12(1	1258	1290	12(1	1257	1031	1012	1016
$\Delta (\Delta u)$	$CH_2$ wag CH <sub>2</sub> twist	1290	1201	1174	1290	1201	1174	1290	1201	1174	1290	1201	1174	927	1015	936
B (B1g)	$CH_2$ twist	1188	1174	11/4	1188	1173	11/4	1188	1172	11/4	1188	1173	11/4	927	931	250
A (B3u)	$CH_2$ twist	1134	1126		1134	1126		1134	1126		1134	1126		803	806	
B (B2g)	CH <sub>2</sub> twist	1134		1125	1134		1125	1134		1125	1134		1125	804		804
A (B3g)	ring def. $(I.P.)^a$	1018	007	1013	985	0.62	1000	1015	004	1011	1014	006	1012	1010	987	985
B(B2u)	ring def. (I.P.)	1016	997	1000	985	963	056	1013	994	065	1014	996	000	1016		
B(B1u)	C-C  str.	984	992	1000	977		950	985		905	983	989	777	873	905	
A (Ag)	C-C str.	984		990	975		976	982		996	983		987	873		881
A (Ag)	CH <sub>2</sub> rock	930		919	929		917	929		919	928		917	787		794
B(B1u)	CH <sub>2</sub> rock	930	918		928	917		929	918		928	917		787	793	
B(B2u)	CH <sub>2</sub> wag	896	906	880	891	884	883	895	905	000	893	903	886	803	8/9	
B(B1g)	ring def. (LP.)	870	890	009	843	878	885	869	889	000	866	887	880	746	742	
A (Au)	ring def. (I.P.)	870			843			869			865			746		742
B (B2u)	ring def. (I.P.)	855	875		831	848		831	874		850	871		824	827	
B(B2u)	ring def. (I.P.)	762	771		743	740		760	740		757	7/7		692	693	
B(B1u)	ring def. (I.P.)	761	//1	7640	739	748	747	737	748	763	756	/6/	750	761 600	112	603
A (B3g)	CH <sub>2</sub> rock	683		687	682		687	683		687	677		680	626		634
B(B2u)	CH <sub>2</sub> rock	683	687	007	682	687	007	682	687	007	676	681	000	626	635	001
A (Ag)	CH2 rock	644		654	644		654	644		654	638		648	599		610
B (B1u)	CH <sub>2</sub> rock	644	654		644	653		644	654		638	648		599	611	
B(B2g)	ring def. $(O.P.)^{\nu}$	561			542			545			559			560		
Б (Б1 <u></u> А (Ац)	ring def. (O.P.)	540 544			520			544 542			543 543			545 542		
A (Ag)	ring def. (O.P.)	485		487	483		486	483		487	471		474	484		487
B (B2g)	ring def. (O.P.)	461			460			460			454			414		
A (B3u)	ring def. (O.P.)	459			458			459			453			411		
B(B1u)	ring def. (O.P.)	446	500	440	444	487	440	444	486	440	435	497	120	447	500	440
A(Ag) B(B1u)	ring def. (O.P.)	455	390	440	454	388	440	454	388	440	422	381	420	454	388	440
B(B1u) B(B2u)	ring def. (O.P.)	355	335		354	330		354	335		347	331		340	327	
A (B3g)	ring def. (O.P.)	354		348	352		347	352		347	344		339	351		340
A (B3g)	ring def. (O.P.)	345		335	343		329	344		334	337		329	331		326
A(Ag)	ring def. (O.P.)	321	077	309	317	070	308	319	076	309	315	075	303	207	270	298
A (Au)	ring def. (O.P.)	294	211		293	278		293	276		288	275		287	270	
B(B2g)	ring def. $(O.P.)$	278			273			2.78			276			2.62		
A (B3u)	ring def. (O.P.)	270			264			265			268			258		
B (B2u)	ring def. (O.P.)	258	258		255	256		257	258		252	253		254	256	
A (B3u)	ring def. (O.P.)	243			237			242			241			220		
B(B1g)	ring def. (O.P.)	236	[100]c.d		232			236			235			182		
A (DOG) A (Ag)	ring def. $(O.P.)$	160	[190] <sup>e,a</sup>	161	164 155		160	165		161	161		159	162		156
A (Au)	ring def. (O.P.)	117		127 <sup>e</sup>	117		100	117		101	114		157	116		125 <sup>e</sup>
B (B1g)	ring def. (O.P.)	116	96		116			116			113			114	88	-
B (B2u)	ring def. (O.P.)	54			54			54			53			53		
A(Au)	ring def. (O.P.)	48			48			48			47			47		
ы (ы2g) А (ВЗи)	ring def. (O.P.)	39 38	31e	30e	39 38			39 38			38 37			39 35	30e	30e
B(B2g)	ring def. (O.P.)	34	51	50	34			34			34			31	50	50
A (B3u)	ring def. (O.P.)	18			18			18			17			17		

<sup>*a*</sup> In-plane ring deformation mode. <sup>*b*</sup> Out-of-plane ring deformation mode. <sup>*c*</sup> Symmetries of these modes were not assigned by Eldridge et al.<sup>6</sup> d These observed shoulders in the spectra by Eldridge et al.<sup>6</sup> may be fundamental modes. <sup>*e*</sup> These frequencies are from Kozlov et al.<sup>5</sup>

TABLE 3: Isotopic Shifts,  $\Delta v$ , for Scaled HF and Experimental Frequencies for the Substitutions <sup>13</sup>C(6), <sup>13</sup>C(2), <sup>34</sup>S(8), and D(8). Scaling Factor for HF Frequencies Is 0.9. The Frequencies Are in cm<sup>-1</sup>

	ET $\nu$		$^{13}C(6) \Delta \nu$		$^{13}C(2) \Delta \nu$		$^{34}S(8) \Delta \nu$		$D(8) \Delta \nu$		
symmetry $C_2(D_{2h})$	HF/S	expt	HF/S	expt	HF/S	expt	HF/S	expt	HF/S	expt	
	2072	2002	0	0	0	0	0	0	7.0	754	
A(B30) B(B2a)	2972	2985	0	0	0	0	0	0	/00 7674	/54 752	
A(Au)	2972	2980	0	0	0	0	0	0	760	733	
B(B1g)	2961	2958	0	0	0	0	0	0	760	784	
$A(A\sigma)$	2914	2920	0	0	0	0	0	0	792	773	
B(B1u)	2914	2922	0	ŏ	Ő	ő	Ő	ő	792	776	
A (B3g)	2906	2722	Ő	0	Ő	0	ŏ	0	793	110	
B(B2u)	2906		Ő		ŏ		ŏ		793		
A (Ag)	1634	1551	64	65	17	29	1	2	1	0	
B (Blu)	1611	1509	63	57	1	23	1	1	1	0	
A (Ag)	1595	1493	$62^{a}$	56	47	28	1	0	1	$+1^{b}(0)^{c}$	
A (Ag)	1448	1422	0		0	0	0	0	311	306	
B (B1u)	1448	1422	0		0		0	+1	311	310	
A (B3g)	1435	1408	0	0	0	0	0	0	376	379	
B (B2u)	1435	1409	0	0	0	1	0	0	376	378	
A (Ag)	1319	1283	0	0	0	0	0	0	269	239	
B (B1u)	1319	1284	0	0	0	1	0	1	268	242	
A (B3g)	1290	1257	0	0	0	+1	0	0	259	241	
B (B2u)	1290	1261	0	0	0	0	0	0	261	248	
A (Au)	1189	1174	0	0	0	0	0	0	261	238	
$\mathbf{B}$ (B1g)	1188	11/4	0	1	0	2	0	1	201	243	
A(B3u) B(B2a)	1134	1120	0	0	0	0	0	0	331 221	320	
A (B3g)	1018	1013	33	13	0	0	0	1	551	26	
$\mathbf{B} \left( \mathbf{B} 2 \mathbf{u} \right)$	1016	007	31	34	3	3	2	1	0	20	
A (B3g)	1010	1000	32	44	28	35	2	1	0		
B(B1u)	984	992	7		20	55	1	3	111	87	
A(Ag)	984	990	9	14	2	+6	1	3	111	109	
A(Ag)	930	919	1	2	ō	0	1	2	143	125	
B (Blu)	930	918	1	1	0	0	1	1	143	125	
B (B2u)	896	906	5	22	1	1	3	3	33	27	
A (B3g)	891	889	4	6	1	2	2	3	67		
B (B1g)	870	890	27	12	1	1	5	3	125	148	
A (Au)	870		28		1		5		124		
B (B2u)	855	875	25	27	24	1	6	4	31	48	
B (B2u)	762		19		2		5		70		
B (B1u)	761	771	22	23	24	23	5	4	0	+1(0)	
A (B3g)	758	764 <sup>a</sup>	21	17	1	1	5	5	68	71	
A (B3g)	683	687	1	0	0	0	7	1	57	53	
B (B2u)	683	687	1	0	1	0	1	6	57	52	
A(Ag)	644	654	1	0	0	0	6	6	45	44	
B(B1u) B(B2g)	561	034	10	1	15	0	2	0	43	43	
B(B2g) B(B1g)	546		20		2		1		3		
A(Au)	544		20		3		1		3		
A(Ag)	485	487	2	1	1	0	14	13	1	0	
B(B2g)	461		1		1		6		47		
A (B3u)	459		1		0		6		48		
B (B1u)	446	500	2	3	2	14	12	3	+1	$0 (+1)^{c}$	
A (Ag)	435	440	1	0	1	0	12	12	1	0	
B (B1u)	382	390	2	2	2	2	10	9	2	2	
B (B2u)	355	335	1	5	1	0	8	4	15	8	
A (B3g)	354	348	2	1	1	1	9	9	2	8	
A (B3g)	345	335	2	6	1	1	1	6	13	9	
A(Ag) B(D1w)	321	309	4	1	2	0	6	6	4	11	
B(Blu)	294	211	1	$\pm 1$	1	1	0	Z	7	/	
A(Au) P(P2a)	204		4		1		5		16		
A (B3u)	278		4		5		2		10		
$\mathbf{B}$ (B2n)	258	258	3	2	1	0	5	5	4	2	
A(B3u)	243	200	6	-	1	0	2	5	23	-	
B (B1g)	236		4		0		$\overline{2}$		26		
A (B3g)	185	[190] <sup>d,e</sup>	1		Õ		4		3		
A (Ag)	156	161	1	1	0	0	3	2	4	5	
A (Au)	117	127	0		0		3		1	2	
B (B1g)	116	96	0		0		3		2	8	
B (B2u)	54		0		0		1		2		
A (Au)	48		0		0		1		1		
B (B2g)	39	30	0		0		1		0	0	
A (B3u)	38		0		0		1		3		
B (B2g)	34		0		0		0		3		
A (B3u)	18		0		0		0		1		

<sup>*a*</sup> 1 cm<sup>-1</sup> differences between  $\Delta \nu$  obtained from Table 2 and  $\Delta \nu$  in Table 3 for some modes are due to rounding off the decimal points. <sup>*b*</sup> + sign shows the frequency increases for the isotopic substitution. <sup>*c*</sup> The shifts in the parentheses are from Kozlov et al.<sup>5</sup> <sup>*d*</sup> Symmetries of these modes were not assigned by Eldridge et al.<sup>6</sup> <sup>*e*</sup> These observed shoulders in the spectra by Eldridge et al.<sup>6</sup> may be fundamental modes.

calculated these modes at 2972 cm<sup>-1</sup> with intensities roughly consistent with the experiment. ET/D(8) modes were assigned by obtaining best fits between HF/S and experimental isotopic shifts. The medium Raman mode at 1408  $cm^{-1}$  is assigned to the  $A(B_{3g})$  mode calculated at 1435 cm<sup>-1</sup>, and the strong IR partner at 1409 cm<sup>-1</sup> is assigned to the B(B<sub>2u</sub>) mode calculated at 1435 cm<sup>-1</sup>. Consequently, the 1422 cm<sup>-1</sup> modes were assigned to the other  $C-H_2$  bend modes with  $A(A_g)$  and  $B(B_{1u})$ symmetries. The good match between the experimental and HF/S isotopic shifts supports these assignments. All the modes between 1284 and 918 cm<sup>-1</sup> were clearly assigned by comparing the symmetries, intensities, and isotopic shifts. The following five modes between 906 and 827  $cm^{-1}$  are difficult to assign since the comparisons between HF/S experimental intensities and isotopic shifts appear not to be clear for these modes. We assigned the 906 cm<sup>-1</sup> mode to one of the strong IR mode and the 889 cm<sup>-1</sup> mode to the next HF/S A(B<sub>3g</sub>) mode. We believe the 860 and 827  $cm^{-1}$  modes are not fundamental, and the experimental assignments were also uncertain. We assigned the strong IR mode at 890 cm<sup>-1</sup> as B(B<sub>1g</sub>) with calculated value  $870 \text{ cm}^{-1}$  and the medium IR mode at  $875 \text{ cm}^{-1}$  as  $B(B_{2u})$  with calculated value 855 cm<sup>-1</sup>. The 771 cm<sup>-1</sup>  $B(B_{1u})$  and 764 cm<sup>-1</sup>  $A(B_{3g})$  modes were assigned by obtaining a good match between the HF/S and the experimental isotopic shifts and intensities. Three sets of pair modes around 625, 654, and 687  $cm^{-1}$  were reported by Kozlov et al.5 and Eldridge et al.6 However, we obtained only two pairs of modes at 644, 683 cm<sup>-1</sup> from HF/S results. Comparing the symmetries, the intensities, and the isotopic shifts, we assign them to the experimental frequencies 654, 687 cm<sup>-1</sup>. We believe that the 625 cm<sup>-1</sup> mode may be a combination band. The modes between 500 and 258  $cm^{-1}$  were easily assigned by matching the symmetries and intensities. The strong Raman intensity 161 cm<sup>-1</sup> mode was assigned as A(Ag) with the calculated value  $156 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ . The experimental spectra by Eldridge et al.<sup>6</sup> contain shoulders around 125 and 190 cm<sup>-1</sup>. These modes may be fundamental modes, and there are some possible corresponding modes in the HF/S spectra. We assigned these low-frequency modes by obtaining the best fit of the isotopic shifts and the intensities between HF/S and the experimental results for ET and ET/D(8).

## 4. Summary

We reported and compared frequencies for *all vibrational modes* for neutral ET and the substitutions: (i) D for all H, (ii)

<sup>13</sup>C for the central carbons, (iii) <sup>13</sup>C for all the double-bond carbons, and (iv) <sup>34</sup>S for all sulfurs. By comparing experimental frequencies, assignments, and isotopic shifts with the scaled HF frequencies, symmetries, intensities, and isotopic shifts, we propose new sets of assignments for fundamental vibrational frequencies of ET and for isotopic substitutions. The agreement between the theoretical and experimental frequencies and isotopic shifts is excellent. These results should be useful in identifying the vibrational states responsible for the superconductivity of these systems.

Acknowledgment. This research was supported by NSF-CHE (95-22179). The facilities of the MSC are also supported by grants from DOE-BCTR, BP Chemical, Asahi Chemical, Saudi Aramco, Owens-Corning, Chevron Petroleum Technology Corp., Exxon, Chevron Research and Technology Corp., Avery-Dennison, and Beckman Institute.

#### **References and Notes**

(1) Mori, H. Int. J. Mod. Phys. B 1994, 8, 1-45.

(2) Williams, J. M.; Ferraro, J. R.; Carlson, K. D.; Geiser, U.; Wang, H. H.; Kini, A. M.; Whangbo, M.-H. Organic Superconductors (Including Fullerenes): Synthesis, Structure, Properties, and Theory; Prentice-Hall: Englewood Cliffs, NJ, 1992.

(3) Demiralp, E.; Goddard, W. A., III. Synth. Met. 1995, 72, 297.

(4) Demiralp, E.; Dasgupta, S.; Goddard, W. A., III. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1995, 117, 8154.

(5) Kozlov, M. E.; Pokhodnia, K. I.; Yurchencko, A. A. Spectrochim. Acta **1989**, 45A, 323.

(6) Eldridge, J. E.; Homes, C. C.; Williams, J. M.; Kini, A. M.; Wang, H. H. Spectrochim. Acta **1995**, 51A 947.

(7) Demiralp, E.; Dasgupta, S.; Goddard, W. A., III. J. Phys. Chem. A 10, **1997**, 101, 1975.

(8) The quantum mechanical calculations were carried out using Gaussian 92: Frisch, M. J.; Trucks, G. W.; Head-Gordon, M.; Gill, P. M. W.; Wong, M. W.; Foresman, J. B.; Johnson, B. G.; Schlegel, H. B.; Robb, M. A.; Replogle, E. S.; Gomperts, R.; Andres, J. L.; Raghavachari, K.; Binkley, J. S.; Gonzalez, C.; Martin, R. L.; Fox, D. J.; Defrees, D. J.; Baker, J.; Stewart, J. J. P.; Pople, J. A. *Gaussian 92*, Revision B; Gaussian, Inc.: Pittsburgh, PA, 1992.

(9) Frequency calculations were performed by using MSC-PolyGraf V3.30. This is based on PolyGraf 3.21 from Molecular Simulations Inc., San Diego. It uses a vibrational module developed by Naoki Karasawa, Siddharth Dasgupta, and William Goddard.

(10) Eldridge, J. E.; Xie, Y.; Wang, H. H.; Williams, J. M.; Kini, A. M.; Schlueter J. A. Spectrochim. Acta **1996**, 52A, 45.